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#### Abstract

In this paper, we define the common minimal dominating symmetric $n$-sigraph of a given symmetric $n$-sigraph and offer a structural characterization of common minimal dominating symmetric $n$-sigraphs. In the sequel, we also obtained switching equivalence characterizations $\overline{S_{n}} \sim C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $C M D\left(S_{n}\right) \sim N\left(S_{n}\right)$ where $S_{n}$, $C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $N\left(S_{n}\right)$ are complementary symmetric $n$-sigraph, common minimal dominating symmetric $n$-sigraph and neighborhood symmetric $n$-sigraph of a symmetric $n$-sigraph $S_{n}$ respectively.


## 1. Introduction

Unless mentioned or defined otherwise, for all terminology and notion in graph theory the reader is refer to [1]. We consider only finite, simple graphs free from self-loops.
Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. An $n$-tuple $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is symmetric, if $a_{k}=a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq$ $k \leq n$. Let $H_{n}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right): a_{k} \in\{+,-\}, a_{k}=a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}$ be the set of
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all symmetric $n$-tuples. Note that $H_{n}$ is a group under coordinate wise multiplication, and the order of $H_{n}$ is $2^{m}$, where $m=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$.
A symmetric n-sigraph (symmetric n-marked graph) is an ordered pair $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ $\left(S_{n}=(G, \mu)\right)$, where $G=(V, E)$ is a graph called the underlying graph of $S_{n}$ and $\sigma: E \rightarrow H_{n}\left(\mu: V \rightarrow H_{n}\right)$ is a function.

In this paper by an $n$-tuple/n-sigraph/n-marked graph we always mean a symmetric $n$-tuple/symmetric $n$-sigraph/symmetric $n$-marked graph.
An $n$-tuple $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is the identity $n$-tuple, if $a_{k}=+$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$, otherwise it is a non-identity $n$-tuple. In an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ an edge labelled with the identity $n$-tuple is called an identity edge, otherwise it is a non-identity edge.
Further, in an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, for any $A \subseteq E(G)$ the $n$-tuple $\sigma(A)$ is the product of the $n$-tuples on the edges of $A$.
In [10], the authors defined two notions of balance in $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ as follows (See also R. Rangarajan and P.S.K.Reddy [6]:
Definition : Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ be an $n$-sigraph. Then,
(i) $S_{n}$ is identity balanced (or $i$-balanced), if product of $n$-tuples on each cycle of $S_{n}$ is the identity $n$-tuple, and
(ii) $S_{n}$ is balanced, if every cycle in $S_{n}$ contains an even number of non-identity edges.

Note: An $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph need not be balanced and conversely.
The following characterization of $i$-balanced $n$-sigraphs is obtained in [10].
Theorem 1.1: (E. Sampathkumar et al. [10]) : An $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is i-balanced if, and only if, it is possible to assign $n$-tuples to its vertices such that the $n$-tuple of each edge $u v$ is equal to the product of the $n$-tuples of $u$ and $v$.
In [10], the authors also have defined switching and cycle isomorphism of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ as follows: (See also [4], [7-9], [12-17], [19-23]).
Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, be two $n$-sigraphs. Then $S_{n}$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}$ are said to be isomorphic, if there exists an isomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ such that if $u v$ is an edge in $S_{n}$ with label $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ then $\phi(u) \phi(v)$ is an edge in $S_{n}^{\prime}$ with label $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$.

Given an $n$-marking $\mu$ of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, switching $S_{n}$ with respect to $\mu$ is the operation of changing the $n$-tuple of every edge $u v$ of $S_{n}$ by $\mu(u) \sigma(u v) \mu(v)$. The $n$ -
sigraph obtained in this way is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\mu}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and is called the $\mu$-switched n-sigraph or just switched n-sigraph.

Further, an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}$ switches to $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}$ (or that they are switching equivalent to each other), written as $S_{n} \sim S_{n}^{\prime}$, whenever there exists an $n$-marking of $S_{n}$ such that $\mathcal{S}_{\mu}\left(S_{n}\right) \cong S_{n}^{\prime}$.
Two $n$-sigraphs $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ are said to be cycle isomorphic, if there exists an isomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ such that the $n$-tuple $\sigma(C)$ of every cycle $C$ in $S_{n}$ equals to the $n$-tuple $\sigma(\phi(C))$ in $S_{n}^{\prime}$.
We make use of the following known result (see [10]).
Theorem 1.2 (E. Sampathkumar et al. [10]) : Given a graph $G$, any two $n$ sigraphs with $G$ as underlying graph are switching equivalent if, and only if, they are cycle isomorphic.
Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ be an $n$-sigraph. Consider the $n$-marking $\mu$ on vertices of $S$ defined as follows: each vertex $v \in V, \mu(v)$ is the product of the $n$-tuples on the edges incident at $v$. Complement of $S$ is an $n$-sigraph $\overline{S_{n}}=\left(\bar{G}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, where for any edge $e=u v \in \bar{G}$, $\sigma^{\prime}(u v)=\mu(u) \mu(v)$. Clearly, $\overline{S_{n}}$ as defined here is an $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph due to Theorem 1.1.

## 2. Common Minimal Dominating $n$-Sigraph of an $n$-Sigraph

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph. A set $D \subseteq V$ is a dominating set of $G$, if every vertex in $V-D$ is adjacent to some vertex in $D$. A dominating set $D$ of $G$ is minimal, if for any vertex $v \in D, D-\{v\}$ is not a dominating set of $G$ (See, [5]).
Kulli and Janakiram [2] introduced a new class of intersection graphs in the field of domination theory. The common minimal dominating graph $C M D(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the graph having same vertex set as $G$ with two vertices adjacent in $C M D(G)$ if, and only if, there exists a minimal dominating set in $G$ containing them.

In this paper, we introduce a natural extension of the notion of common minimal dominating graph to the realm of $n$-sigraphs since this appears to have interesting connections with complementary $n$-sigraph and neighborhood $n$-sigraph.

The common minimal dominating n-sigraph $C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is an $n$-sigraph whose underlying graph is $C M D(G)$ and the $n$-tuple of any edge $u v$ in $C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $\mu(u) \mu(v)$, where $\mu$ is the canonical $n$-marking of $S_{n}$. Further, an $n$-sigraph
$S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is called common minimal dominating $n$-sigraph, if $S_{n} \cong C M D\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ for some $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}$. In this paper we will give a structural characterization of $n$-sigraphs which are common minimal dominating $n$-sigraphs.

The following result indicates the limitations of the notion $C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ introduced above, since the entire class of $i$-unbalanced $n$-sigraphs is forbidden to be common minimal dominating $n$-sigraphs.
Theorem 2.1 : For any $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, its common minimal dominating $n$ sigraph $C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced.
Proof : Since the $n$-tuple of any edge $u v$ in $C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $\mu(u) \mu(v)$, where $\mu$ is the canonical $n$-marking of $S_{n}$, by Theorem $1.1, C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced.
For any positive integer $k$, the $k^{t h}$ iterated common minimal dominating $n$-sigraph $C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ of $S_{n}$ is defined as follows:

$$
(C M D)^{0}\left(S_{n}\right)=S_{n},(C M D)^{k}\left(S_{n}\right)=C M D\left((C M D)^{k-1}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Corollary 2.2 : For any $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ and any positive integer $k,(C M D)^{k}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced.
The following result characterize $n$-sigraphs which are common minimal dominating $n$-sigraphs.
Theorem 2.3 : An $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is a common minimal dominating $n$-sigraph if, and only if, $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced $n$-sigraph and its underlying graph $G$ is a common minimal dominating graph.
Proof : Suppose that $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced and $G$ is a $C M D(G)$. Then there exists a graph $H$ such that $C M D(H) \cong G$. Since $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced, by Theorem 1.1, there exists an $n$-marking $\mu$ of $G$ such that each edge $u v$ in $S_{n}$ satisfies $\sigma(u v)=\mu(u) \mu(v)$. Now consider the $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(H, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, where for any edge $e$ in $H, \sigma^{\prime}(e)$ is the $n$-marking of the corresponding vertex in $G$. Then clearly, $C M D\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right) \cong S_{n}$. Hence $S_{n}$ is a common minimal dominating $n$-sigraph.
Conversely, suppose that $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is a common minimal dominating $n$-sigraph. Then there exists an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(H, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ such that $C M D\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right) \cong S_{n}$. Hence $G$ is the $C M D(G)$ of $H$ and by Theorem 2.1, $S_{n}$ is $i$-balanced.
In [2], the authors characterized graphs for which $C M D(G) \cong \bar{G}$.
Theorem 2.4 (Kulli and Janakiram [1]) : For any graph $G=(V, E), C M D(G) \cong \bar{G}$ if, and only if, every minimal dominating set of $G$ is independent.

We now characterize $n$-sigraphs whose common minimal dominating $n$-sigraphs and complementary $n$-sigraphs are switching equivalent.

Theorem 2.5: For any $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma), \overline{S_{n}} \sim C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ if, and only if, every minimal dominating set of $G$ is independent.

Proof: Suppose $\overline{S_{n}} \sim C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$. This implies, $\overline{S_{n}} \cong C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ and hence by Theorem 2.4, every minimal dominating set of $G$ is independent.

Conversely, suppose that every minimal dominating set of $G$ is independent. Then $\overline{S_{n}} \cong C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ by Proposition 2.4. Now, if $S_{n}$ is an $n$-sigraph with every minimal dominating set of underlying graph $G$ is independent, by the definition of complementary $n$-sigraph and Theorem 2.1, $\overline{S_{n}}$ and $C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ are $i$-balanced and hence, the result follows from Theorem 1.2.
In [18], the authors introduced neighborhood $n$-sigraph of an $n$-sigraph as follows:
The neighborhood $n$-sigraph $N\left(S_{n}\right)$ of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is an $n$-sigraph whose underlying graph is $N(G)$ and the $n$-tuple of any edge $u v$ in $N\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $\mu(u) \mu(v)$, where $\mu$ is the canonical $n$-marking of $S_{n}$. Further, an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ is called neighborhood $n$-sigraph, if $S_{n} \cong N\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ for some $n$-sigraph $S_{n}^{\prime}$. The following result restricts the class of neighborhood graphs.

Theorem 2.6 (Rangarajan et al. [8]) : For any $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, its neighborhood $n$-sigraph $N\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced.

We now characterize $n$-sigraphs whose common minimal dominating $n$-sigraphs and neighborhood $n$-sigraphs are switching equivalent. In case of graphs the following result is due to Kulli and Janakiram [3].
Theorem 2.7 (Kulli and Janakiram [3]): If $G$ is a ( $p-2$ )-regular graph with $p \geq 6$, then $C M D(G) \cong N(G)$.
Theorem 2.8: For any $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma), C M D\left(S_{n}\right) \sim N\left(S_{n}\right)$ if, and only if, $G$ is a $(p-2)$-regular graph with $p \geq 6$.
Proof : Suppose $C M D\left(S_{n}\right) \sim N\left(S_{n}\right)$. This implies, $C M D(G) \cong N(G)$ and hence by Theorem 2.7, we see that the graph $G$ must be ( $p-2$ )-regular graph with $p \geq 6$.
Conversely, suppose that $G$ is $(p-2)$-regular graph with $p \geq 6$. Then $C M D(G) \cong N(G)$ by Proposition 2.7. Now, if $S_{n}$ is an $n$-sigraph with underlying graph as $(p-2)$-regular graph with $p \geq 6$, by Theorems 2.1 and 2.6, $C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $N\left(S_{n}\right)$ are $i$-balanced and hence, the result follows from Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.9: For any two $n$-sigraphs $S_{n}$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}$ with the same underlying graph, their common minimal dominating $n$-sigraphs are switching equivalent.
Proof : Suppose $S n=(G, \sigma)$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ be two $n$-sigraphs with $G \cong G^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.1, $C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $C M D\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ are $i$-balanced and hence, the result follows from Theorem 1.2.

## 3. Complementation

In this section, we investigate the notion of complementation of a graph whose edges have signs (a sigraph) in the more general context of graphs with multiple signs on their edges. We look at two kinds of complementation: complementing some or all of the signs, and reversing the order of the signs on each edge.
For any $m \in H_{n}$, the $m$-complement of $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is: $a^{m}=a m$. For any $M \subseteq H_{n}$, and $m \in H_{n}$, the $m$-complement of $M$ is $M^{m}=\left\{a^{m}: a \in M\right\}$.
For any $m \in H_{n}$, the $m$-complement of an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, written $\left(S_{n}^{m}\right)$, is the same graph but with each edge label $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ replaced by $a^{m}$.
For an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, the $C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced. We now examine, the condition under which $m$-complement of $C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced, where for any $m \in$ $H_{n}$. For an $n$-sigraph $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$, the $C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced. We now examine, the conditions under which $m$-complement of $C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced, where for any $m \in H_{n}$.
Theorem 3.1 : Let $S_{n}=(G, \sigma)$ be an $n$-sigraph. Then, for any $m \in H_{n}$, if $C M D(G)$ is bipartite then $\left(C M D\left(S_{n}\right)\right)^{m}$ is $i$-balanced.
Proof : Since, by Theorem 2.1, $C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ is $i$-balanced, for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, the number of $n$-tuples on any cycle $C$ in $C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ whose $k^{t h}$ co-ordinate are - is even. Also, since $C M D(G)$ is bipartite, all cycles have even length; thus, for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, the number of $n$-tuples on any cycle $C$ in $C M D\left(S_{n}\right)$ whose $k^{\text {th }}$ co-ordinate are + is also even. This implies that the same thing is true in any $m$-complement, where for any $m, \in H_{n}$. Hence $\left(C M D\left(S_{n}\right)\right)^{t}$ is $i$-balanced.
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